

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/750,196 12/31/2		/31/2003	Beat T. Weber	AM100907 C1	9169
	25291	7590 12/01/2006		EXAMINER		
	WYETH				BARTS, SAMUEL A	
	PATENT LAW GROUP 5 GIRALDA FARMS MADISON, NJ 07940				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
						TALERIONDER
	MADISON, I	NJ 0/940	,		1621	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/750,196	WEBER, BEAT T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Samuel A. Barts	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Se</u>	entember 2006						
	action is non-final.	·					
<i>,</i>		secution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	reports quaylor root s.m. 11, 10						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.	·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
· · · ·							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Application/Control Number: 10/750,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that the ODV produced in Jerussi is different from the claimed compound because the pH used in the recovery process is not above 9.5. This argument is not convincing because Jerussi clearly made ODV in a very pure form. Furthermore, Jerrussi clearly distinguished when salts were made in the examples. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the ODV made in example 2 is the free base and thus meets the claimed invention. The difference in the NMR is also not sufficient to shift the burden back to examiner because there are many variables that can cause a shift in NMR data. For example solvent effects can a cause a shift in NMR data.

Applicant has argued that the prior art of Jerrusi and Husbands do not provide motivation to make the ODV base since the examples in the said references indicated that the HCl salts of venlafaxine derivatives were typically made. This is not found convincing because a person of ordinary skill in the art would not be limited to the disclosures of the Jerussi and Husband. Ordinary skilled artisans in the pharmaceutical art routinely administer known pharmaceutical compounds as the salt or the free base. Therefore, one skilled in the art would be motivated to make both the salts and free base of a pharmaceutical compound as pure are possible. This purity is required by the FDA in order to get pharmaceutical compounds on the market.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/750,196 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under

35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jerussi et al (WO 00/59851).

See example 2 on pages 20-21. Also see previous office action and the examiner's response to applicant's arguments addressed herein.

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Husbands (US

4,535,186).

See previous office action and the examiner's response to applicant's arguments addressed herein.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/750,196 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel A Barts
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1621